INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURE & BIOLOGY ISSN Print: 1560–8530; ISSN Online: 1814–9596 20–0854/2020/24–6–1715–1722 DOI: 10.17957/IJAB/15.1614 http://www.fspublishers.org



Full Length Article

Nitrogen Nutrition Effects on Growth, Protein and Oil Quality in Soybean (*Glycine max*) Genotypes under Saline Conditions

Sajida Azhar¹, Muhammad Anwar ul Haq^{1*,} Javaid Akhtar¹ and Ejaz Ahmad Waraich²

¹Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

²Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

*For correspondence: haqgondal@gmail.com; haq.gondal@uaf.edu.pk

Received 06 June 2020; Accepted 18 August 2020; Published 10 October 2020

Abstract

Nitrogen (N) application affects crop growth and development even under saline condition. This study compared the effects of nitrogenous fertilizer on growth, biochemical activities, proteins and oil quality of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) genotypes under saline conditions. The experiment was comprised of salinity levels (7.5 and 15 dS m⁻¹ including control) and two nitrogen rates viz. 25 and 50 kg ha⁻¹ using calcium nitrate and ammonium sulphate as a source for NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺. Soybean growth significantly reduced under adverse saline conditions while N application increased the plant biomass. The results indicated that the application of nitrogen fertilizer in both forms significantly increased the shoot and root fresh as well as dry weights, reducing the leaf Na⁺ and enhancing K⁺ concentration under normal and saline conditions. Protein and oil contents were also improved by nitrogen nutrition which were significantly reduced by salinity. It was concluded that soybean accession 2429-3130 and 3702 had better biomass production, protein content, oil percent and K⁺ contents through N application then Lochlon and Ajmari under both saline and non-saline conditions. Comparing the N sources impact the application of N in the nitrate form was more effective under salt stressed conditions. © 2020 Friends Science Publishers

Keywords: Nitrogen; Soybean; Salinity; Calcium nitrate and ammonium sulphate; Protein and oil

Introduction

A fertilizer is a nourishing material of natural or synthetic origin which mainly provided to soils or tissues of plants. Thus, it enhances growth of plants (Haq and Mallarino 2005; Mannan 2014). For the purpose to achieve optimal growth balanced nutrients are required in soil solution to regulate adequate concentration for growth during plants developmental stages (Chen 2006). Thus, crops mineral nutrition efficiency can be improved by applying fertilizer through foliage or in growing medium (Mallarino et al. 2001). By applying fertilizer as nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium as well as additional nutrients that could affect many processes of physiological nature could be an opportunity to influence economical yield (Haq and Mallarino 2005). Though, beneath high and moderate salinity levels, fertilization affects growth of plants (Haq and Mallarino 2005; Murtaza et al. 2014). Hence in interactive studies of nitrogen and salinity, nitrogen supplied form is important (Murtaza et al. 2000). According to some studies, increase in nitrate concentration in plants reduce the uptake of chloride and also retard its accumulation (Murtaza et al. 2000; Bybordi 2010). During salinity stress in plants nitrate has valuable effects that are related to antagonism between of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions (Munns 2002). The existence of higher concentration of NO_3^- enhanced cations translocation such as Ca, K, and Mg, whereas NH_4^+ has been shown to decrease cations concentration (Nadian *et al.* 2012; Murtaza *et al.* 2014). Hence with significant increase of nitrogen contents, sustain C/N ratio, designated to increase the photosynthesis as well as metabolism activities and ultimately increase biomass of plant (Dubey and Pessarakli 1995; Guan *et al.* 2011). Therefore, nitrogen additions to the plants showed symptoms of stress under salinity may improve their tolerance for salt, growth and yield (Jahangir *et al.* 2009; Nadian *et al.* 2012).

As soil salinity is a major abiotic stress with limiting effects on plant growth worldwide and whole to agriculture produced on salt affected areas. Approximately, 7% of total world's soil is affected by salinity and on approximate basis 20% of world to irrigated land (45 m ha) (Yamaguchi and Blumwald 2005; FAO 2007). As an abiotic stress, salinity impacts negatively approximately 20% of 310 million ha lands under irrigation used for crop production, causing an assessed annual loss of US\$ 27 billion. It is cost-effective to invest in salt - induced land degradation for sustainable management, investments for active salt affected lands remediation, should be broader strategy in arrangement part, or for security of food and be defined as plans of national action (Qadir *et al.* 2014). Hence, soil salinity presence is

To cite this paper: Azhar S, MAU Haq, J Akhtar, EA Waraich (2020). Nitrogen nutrition effects on growth, protein and oil quality in soybean (*Glycine max*) genotypes under saline conditions. *Intl J Agric Biol* 24:1715–1722

even before of human existence and farming (Foolad 2004). Thus, more commonly in areas of low rainfall, high temperature, in arid and due to higher degrees of evapotranspiration in poor quality irrigated water areas, salty parent material and poor management practices resulted in net upward salts movement (Neto *et al.* 2006). In Pakistan, most serious environmental problem is salinity that is categorized at eighth in terms of extended area (FAO 2006).

Soybean is a leguminous oilseed crop all around the world by way of with its unique chemical composition and a high-quality digestible protein source (He and Chen 2013; Vagadia et al. 2017). It contains about 6 % ash, 17-24% oil, 29% carbohydrates and 37-42% good quality protein (Gibbs et al. 2004). It is a good source of essential fatty acids including saturated, and polyunsaturated fatty acids, fibers (USDA 2018), and contains secondary metabolites that are beneficial such as isoflavones, saponins and phenolic components including minerals, vitamins, and comprises of energy (Krishnan 2001; Sakthivelu et al. 2008; Khojely et al. 2018). By management of agronomic production practices for optimized growth, fertilizer nutrient (Haq and Mallarino 2005; Mannan 2014) and careful genotypes selection influences soybean yield (Matsuo et al. 2016; Gulluoglu et al. 2017).

Production of soybean at local level is minor and as importation of it as meal and oil of soya has become prerequisite to meet the demand. The cultivation is on restricted areas with diminishing trend, need motivation and techniques to raise the cultivation of soybean to overcome import bill on the edible oil. Therefore, the present study was conducted to check that either nitrogen has ameliorative role in nutrition under saline and normal conditions. While the different sources of nitrogenous fertilizers might be performing better under saline stress.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and conditions for growth

Experiment was conducted in wire house, at University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan. Two sets of soybeans (Glycine max L.) genotypes, No.2429-3130 and No. 3702 (salt tolerant), Lochlon and Ajmari as salt sensitive were used in the experiment. 12 kg soil was used in pots. Collected soil was dried in air, sieved in 2 mm mesh sized sieve and analysed for physical and chemical characteristics $(pH=8.01, EC_e=1.3 \text{ d } \text{Sm}^{-1}, \text{SAR}=5.04 \text{ (mmol } \text{L}^{-1})^{1/2} \text{ and}$ sandy clay loam texture). Before filling of pots, different salinity levels of 7.5 and 15 dS m⁻¹ settled in soil by mixing calculated amount of NaCl using mixer mechanical based on saturation percentage and soil ECe. However, nitrogen levels (0, 25 and 50 kg ha⁻¹) established by using two sources of nitrogen, calcium nitrate and ammonium sulphate at 25 day's intervals as recommended rates. The experiment was comprised of control (no added N), control + 25 kg $ha^{-1} N (NO_3^{-})$, control + 25 kg $ha^{-1} N (NH_4^{+})$, control + 50 kg $ha^{-1} N (NO_3^{-})$, control + 50 kg $ha^{-1} N (NH_4^{+})$, 7.5 dS m^{-1} (no added N), 7.5 dS m⁻¹+ 25 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃), 7.5 dS m⁻¹ + 25 kg ha⁻¹ N (NH₄⁺), 7.5 dS m⁻¹+ 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃), 7.5 dS m⁻¹+ 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NH₄⁺), 15 dS m⁻¹ (no added N), 15 dS m⁻¹+ 25 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 25 kg ha⁻¹ N (NH₄⁺), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 15 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 17 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 17 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ N (NO₃⁻), 16 dS m⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻¹ + 50 kg ha⁻

Determination of Na⁺ and K⁺

Dried leaves of soybean plants were grounded in grinder. The 0.5 g sample was taken in digestion flasks and added 7 mL HNO_3+3 mL $HCIO_4$ and digested on hot plate of 4 h and raised gradually. After once approximately 3 mL was left in flask then digestion was stopped. For filtrate Whatman filter paper (No.42) was used and final volume was obtained by addition of 50 mL by distilled water. Then sodium and potassium were determined using flame photometer after standardization (Jones *et al.* 1990).

Oil and protein contents measurement

The oil content measurement followed the method of Matthäus and Brühl (2001). The following formula was used to calculate the oil contents from soya seed.

$$C = +\frac{100 \times Qw}{W \times (1 - \text{ moisture})}$$

The Qw(g) is the oil extracted from seed, W(g) is the weight of ground sample, and moisture % is the moisture percentage of the ground sample.

The sieved soy flours (0.5 g) were homogenized in a test tube with 5 mL of phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 7.8) for protein contents measurement. The mixture was stirred and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant (1 mL) was added with 5 mL coomassie brilliant blue G-250 (CBB) and this mixture was analyzed by the method of Soluble Protein Content Assay according to Bradford (1976).

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for data analysis up to with two-way interaction using software "Statistix 8.1" for the analysis and presented by as mean of three replicates of \pm SE and P < 0.05 value used for checked significance (Steel *et al.* 1997).

Results

Effect of salinity on growth and quality of soybean

There was a significant (P < 0.05) difference in soybean growth under salt stress and normal conditions. The highest shoot fresh and dry weights, root fresh and dry weights were

Salinity	Nitrogen		No. 2429-3130	No. 3702	Lochlon	Ajmari
Control		0	26.3 ± 0.49	23.8 ± 0.88	18.8 ± 0.58	18.2 ± 0.78
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	34.0 ± 0.44	32.0 ± 0.55	25.5 ± 0.68	23.4 ± 0.76
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	32.4 ± 0.47	31.4 ± 0.45	23.9 ± 0.87	22 ± 0.69
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	35.5 ± 0.75	34.7 ± 0.75	26.1 ± 1.06	25.5 ± 0.73
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻	50	36.8 ± 0.61	35.1 ± 0.61	25.4 ± 0.53	23.8 ± 0.91
7.5 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	23.3 ± 1.06	20.8 ± 0.66	14.8 ± 1.09	13.2 ± 0.81
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	$26. \pm 0.46$	25.4 ± 0.73	17.2 ± 0.87	14.9 ± 0.67
	NH_{4+} kg ha ⁻¹	25	25.4 ± 0.8	24.5 ± 0.7	16.5 ± 0.65	14.5 ± 0.57
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	29.0 ± 0.73	27.0 ± 0.36	20.3 ± 0.61	18.3 ± 0.25
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	50	28.8 ± 0.34	26.2 ± 0.66	19.6 ± 1.24	16.8 ± 0.6
15 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	19.9 ± 0.8	14.5 ± 0.4	14.5 ± 1.2	13.19 ± 0.9
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	23.1 ± 0.80	22.1 ± 1.0	17.2 ± 0.9	15.6 ± 0.8
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	23.1 ± 0.5	21.8 ± 1.1	18.8 ± 0.2	14.8 ± 1.1
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	50	25.5 ± 0.8	23.5 ± 1.2	18.8 ± 0.2	18.2 ± 0.5
	$\mathrm{NH_4^+}\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{ha^{-1}}$	50	23.9 ± 0.7	22.9 ± 0.9	16.2 ± 0.4	15.5 ± 0.7

Table 1: Shoot fresh weight (g plant⁻¹) of soybean genotypes to different levels of NaCl and Nitrogen after 110 days of stress

(Each value is an average of three replicates \pm S.E)

Table 2: Shoot dry weight (g plant¹) of soybean genotypes to different levels of NaCl and Nitrogen after 110 days of stress

Salinity	Nitrogen		No. 2429-3130	No. 3702	Lochlon	Ajmari
Control		0	5.6 ± 0.8	4.6 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.5	2.6 ± 0.2
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	5.7 ± 0.2	5.6 ± 0.6	2.8 ± 0.3	2.47 ± 0.10
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	5.7 ± 0.1	4.6 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.1
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	5.7 ± 0.3	4.7 ± 0.1	2.9 ± 0.3	2.7 ± 0.3
	NH_4^{\pm} kg ha	50	5.7 ± 0.2	4.6 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.10	2.7 ± 0.1
7.5 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	4.5 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.1
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	4.6 ± 0.4	$3.5 \pm 0.$	2.0 ± 0.2	2.1 ± 0.2
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha	25	4.6 ± 0.2	3.5 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.6
	NO3 ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	50	4.8 ± 0.1	3.6 ± 0.2	2.2 ± 0.2	2.1 ± 0.1
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	50	4.6 ± 0.2	3.5 ± 0.2	2.1 ± 0.2	2.1 ± 0.6
15 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	3.3 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.10	1.2 ± 0.01
	NO ₃₋ kg ha ⁻¹	25	3.3 ± 0.5	2.8 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 0.10	2.1 ± 0.05
	NH_4^+ kg ha ⁻¹	25	3.3 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.2	1.4 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.17
	NO3 ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	50	3.4 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.7	1.5 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.1
	$\mathrm{NH_4^+}$ kg ha ⁻¹	50	3.4 ± 0.8	2.8 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 0.4	1.3 ± 0.3

(Each value is an average of three replicates ± S.E.)

observed under normal conditions and significantly decreased at EC 7.5 and 15 dS m⁻¹. In case of salt stressed conditions, the highest shoot and root traits were found in accession No.2429-3130 and lowest values for these traits in Ajmari at EC 15 dS m⁻¹. A significant difference was also observed in leaf Na⁺ and K⁺ concentration among the all these tested genotypes (Table 5–6). The increase in Na^+ was observed under both the salinity levels as compared to control. The highest Na⁺ concentration was recorded in tolerant genotypes No.2429-3130 and No. 3702 rather than Lochlon and Ajmari. While K⁺ concentration showed a significant reduction at 15 dS m⁻¹ compared to respective control and 7.5 dS m⁻¹ in all four soybean genotypes. The genotypes sustained relatively high tolerant K^+ concentration than the salt sensitive genotypes. Protein and oil% contents (Table 7 and 8), decreased under salt stress condition compared to their respective control.

Effect of nitrogenous nutrition on soybean growth and quality

The application of N increased the shoot fresh and dry weights, root fresh and dry weights under both saline and normal condition however there was a significant (P < 0.05) difference between the soybean genotypes under salt

stressed conditions (Table 1-4). Plant leaf ionic contents very significantly affected by treatments and with high significant difference between the genotypes. The interactive effect of nitrogen treatment was highly significant. The application of both nitrate and ammonium form significantly reduced concentration of leaf Na⁺ and increased the leaf K⁺ concentration, and consequently the growth. Increased nitrogen supply led towards increased ratio of leaf K: Na in salinity stress condition (Table 9). The salt-tolerant genotypes responded more efficiently to nitrogen application at significant values than salt-sensitive genotypes. The effect of nitrate application was more as compared to ammonium and in the same way more to salt tolerant than to sensitive. Application of nitrogen at both sources (NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺) and levels (25 and 50 kg ha⁻¹) had significant differences and increased the protein and oil content of soybean. However, response was pronounced in tolerant genotypes as compared to sensitive (Lochlon and Ajmari) ones.

Discussion

Salinity is worldwide problem causing threat to agricultural food production and sustainability. It also encourages other stresses that negatively impacts crop

Salinity	Nitrogen		No. 2429-3130	No. 3702	Lochlon	Ajmari
Control		0	5.2 ± 0.09	4.1 ± 0.06	3.9 ± 0.19	2.8 ± 0.02
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	6.5 ± 0.26	5.2 ± 0.13	4.3 ± 0.31	3.4 ± 0.20
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	5.6 ± 0.30	4.9 ± 0.16	4.1 ± 0.45	3.1 ± 0.05
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	7.8 ± 0.28	6.6 ± 0.35	5.1 ± 0.19	5.1 ± 0.15
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha	50	7.7 ± 0.26	6.1 ± 0.32	4.8 ± 0.20	3.9 ± 0.22
7.5 dS m ⁻¹		0	5.3 ± 0.55	4.5 ± 0.29	2.2 ± 0.16	2.2 ± 0.18
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	6.7 ± 0.21	5.3 ± 0.15	2.6 ± 0.25	2.3 ± 0.26
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha	25	5.4 ± 0.17	5.0 ± 0.09	2.2 ± 0.20	2.0 ± 0.5
	NO3 kg ha-1	50	6.2 ± 0.05	5.6 ± 0.24	3.5 ± 0.13	3.6 ± 0.22
	NH_4^+ kg ha ⁻¹	50	5.9 ± 0.16	5.2 ± 0.03	3.4 ± 0.17	3.1 ± 0.36
15 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	3.9 ± 0.36	3.5 ± 0.36	2.2 ± 0.20	1.6 ± 0.28
	NO3 ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	4.5 ± 0.24	4.0 ± 0.09	2.2 ± 0.20	2.1 ± 0.07
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	4.2 ± 0.10	3.9 ± 0.03	2.4 ± 0.07	1.9 ± 0.07
	NO3 ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	50	5.0 ± 0.34	5.0 ± 0.34	2.5 ± 0.19	2.2 ± 0.29
	$\mathrm{NH_4^+}\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{ha^{-1}}$	50	4.4 ± 0.06	4.3 ± 0.29	2.5 ± 0.19	2.0 ± 0.06

Table 3: Root fresh weight (g plant⁻¹) of soybean genotypes to different levels of NaCl and Nitrogen after 110 days of stress

(Each value is an average of three replicates \pm S.E)

Table 4: Root dry weight (g plant⁻¹) of soybean genotypes to different levels of NaCl and Nitrogen after 110 days of stress

Salinity	Nitrogen		No. 2429-3130	No. 3702	Lochlon	Ajmari
Control		0	0.88 ± 0.04	0.70 ± 0.06	0.35 ± 0.07	0.26 ± 0.03
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	1.05 ± 0.06	0.90 ± 0.01	0.56 ± 0.03	0.40 ± 0.04
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	0.91 ± 0.09	0.84 ± 0.06	0.49 ± 0.03	0.37 ± 0.04
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	1.31 ± 0.15	1.55 ± 0.12	0.81 ± 0.06	0.63 ± 0.03
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha	50	1.18 ± 0.09	1.40 ± 0.04	0.75 ± 0.04	0.49 ± 0.04
7.5 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	0.75 ± 0.07	0.79 ± 0.04	0.45 ± 0.03	0.36 ± 0.03
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	0.92 ± 0.10	0.84 ± 0.07	0.49 ± 0.03	0.42 ± 0.01
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha	25	0.87 ± 0.07	082 ± 0.01	0.43 ± 0.05	0.39 ± 0.04
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	1.05 ± 0.05	0.96 ± 0.02	0.63 ± 0.06	0.49 ± 0.04
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	50	0.98 ± 0.10	0.80 ± 0.05	0.42 ± 0.05	0.45 ± 0.03
15 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	0.34 ± 0.01	0.36 ± 0.03	0.42 ± 0.05	0.16 ± 0.01
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	0.38 ± 0.01	$0.37. \pm 0.01$	0.21 ± 0.03	0.18 ± 0.03
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	0.33 ± 0.02	0.28 ± 0.00	0.24 ± 0.04	0.14 ± 0.01
	NO3 ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	50	0.41 ± 0.04	0.37 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.03
	$\mathrm{NH_4^+}\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{ha}^{-1}$	50	0.35 ± 0.01	0.32 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.01	0.17 ± 0.01

(Each value is an average of three replicates± S.E)

Table 5: Leaf Na⁺ (mg/g DW) content of soybean genotypes to different levels of NaCl and Nitrogen after 110 days of stress

Salinity	Nitrogen		No. 2429-3130	No. 3702	Lochlon	Ajmari	
Control		0	4.9 ± 0.4	4.7 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.4	
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	4.1 ± 0.2	3.4 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.4	2.10 ± 0.2	
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	5.4 ± 0.3	4.2 ± 0.6	2.1 ± 0.1	7.4 ± 0.6	
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	3.5 ± 0.2	2.7 ± 0.1	$1.5. \pm 0.7$	2.4 ± 0.3	
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha	50	3.9 ± 0.1	3.2 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1	
7.5 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	7.4 ± 0.2	6.3 ± 0.2	4.5 ± 0.5	4.2 ± 0.1	
	NO3 ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	6.1 ± 0.2	5.8 ± 0.2	3.9 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.3	
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha	25	6.6 ± 0.2	6.0 ± 0.2	4.2 ± 0.4	4.0 ± 0.5	
	NO ₃ - kg ha ⁻¹	50	4.7 ± 0.3	4.7 ± 0.8	3.2 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.2	
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	50	5.9 ± 0.2	5.6 ± 0.2	3.8 ± 0.3	3.6 ± 0.7	
15 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	8.3 ± 0.3	7.6 ± 0.3	6.1 ± 0.20	5.6 ± 0.6	
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	8.0 ± 0.3	7.3 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 0.20	5.2 ± 0.1	
	NH_4^+ kg ha ⁻¹	25	8.2 ± 0.3	7.4 ± 0.7	5.9 ± 0.2	5.4 ± 0.5	
	NO3 kg ha-1	50	6.1 ± 0.2	4.5 ± 0.2	4.1 ± 0.2	3.6 ± 0.5	
	NH_4^+ kg ha ⁻¹	50	7.8 ± 0.3	7.1 ± 0.3	5.4 ± 0.9	5.0 ± 0.3	

(Each value is an average of three replicates \pm S.E)

growth like osmotic, nutrient deficiency and specific ion toxicity, through upsetting growth of plant and development by varying physiological and biochemical mechanisms associated (Sairam *et al.* 2002; Chen 2006; Hanin *et al.* 2016; Shu *et al.* 2017). The increase in concentrations of NaCl decreased the development of plants of soybean in both types of genotypes tolerant and sensitive; it could be due to osmotic stress and specific ion toxicity of Na⁺ as well as Cl⁻ in the root which also hinders the uptake of other ions and nutrients (Parveen *et al.* 2016).

Additionally, soybean plants have a large harvest index for nitrogen as compared to other legumes. The soybean cultivars exposed to nitrogen application had an optimistic effect on yield of soya seed (Jahangir *et al.* 2009; Maw *et al.* 2011). This study results are similar to Maw *et al.* (2011) that application of nitrate to soybean cultivars increased the yield and this increase were mainly attributed to accumulation of dry matter in leaves at fifth stage of vegetative growth. Tshivhandekano and Lewis (1993) revealed that maize and wheat fed within NH₄⁺ more

Salinity	Nitrogen		No. 2429-3130	No. 3702	Lochlon	Ajmari
Control		0	40.6 ± 1.7	37.5 ± 1.1	21.0 ± 1.6	20.20 ± 1.0
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	45.8 ± 1.3	42.8 ± 1.1	24.4 ± 0.9	23.50 ± 0.5
	NH_4^+ kg ha ⁻¹	25	44.2 ± 1.2	41.2 ± 2.1	23.1 ± 12	21.90 ± 1.1
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	51.3 ± 27	47 ± 1.7	28.3 ± 0.8	27.20 ± 0.9
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha	50	48.0 ± 1.8	45.4 ± 2.0	26.4 ± 0.9	25.50 ± 0.7
7.5 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	34.3 ± 0.9	30.1 ± 1.0	14.5 ± 0.5	13.20 ± 0.9
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	38.9 ± 1.4	34.8 ± 1.4	16.2 ± 0.5	16.40 ± 0.3
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻	25	37.0 ± 1.4	33.3 ± 1.4	15.2 ± 0.6	14.8 ± 0.5
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	45.1 ± 1.0	41.4 ± 0.9	17.9 ± 0.8	18.8 ± 0.7
	NH_4^+ kg ha ⁻¹	50	41.8 ± 1.7	38.3 ± 1.1	16.1 ± 1.0	16.4 ± 0.8
15 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	25.1 ± 0.70	$23,3 \pm 1.2$	9.2 ± 0.00	8.6 ± 0.2
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	29.3 ± 1.03	27.1 ± 0.9	12.0 ± 0.70	11.8 ± 0.3
	NH_4^+ kg ha ⁻¹	25	27.2 ± 1.0	25.0 ± 0.6	11.2 ± 0.6	10.6 ± 0.3
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	34.3 ± 1.1	32.2 ± 1.1	13.9 ± 0.2	14.1 ± 0.4
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	50	31.8 ± 1.7	29.2 ± 0.7	12.6 ± 0.3	12.4 ± 0.9

Table 6: Leaf K⁺ (mg/g DW) content of soybean genotypes to different levels of NaCl and Nitrogen after 110 days of stress

(Each value is an average of three replicates \pm S.E)

Table 7: Protein content (%) of soybean seed to different levels of NaCl and Nitrogen after 110 days of stress

Salinity	Nitrogen		No. 2429-3130	No. 3702	Lochlon	Ajmari
Control		0	43.43 ± 0.57	42.11 ± 0.77	41.76 ± 0.35	40.24 ± 0.43
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	45.76 ± 1.46 .	44.93 ± 1.24	43.52 ± 2.63	43.90 ± 1.10
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	45.10 ± 0.86	44.60 ± 0.73	45.10 ± 0.57	43.60 ± 1.04
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	49.60 ± 0.89	47.26 ± 0.72	46.10 ± 1.55	45.60 ± 1.03
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	50	49.21 ± 0.89	47.06 ± 0.80	45.96 ± 1.09	44.13 ± 1.93
7.5 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	41.86 ± 0.34	41.60 ± 0.41	42.10 ± 0.68	39.36 ± 0.95
	NO3 ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	$46.76 \pm .74$	44.26 ± 0.43	43.80 ± 2.99	41.89 ± 2.30
	NH_4^+ kg ha ⁻¹	25	45.76 ± 0.87	46.93 ± 0.73	44.10 ± 1.84	43.26 ± 0.73
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	47.76 ± 0.50	48.06 ± 0.66	45.76 ± 2.00	44.33 ± 1.02
	NH_4^+ kg ha ⁻¹	50	46.10 ± 1.84	45.26 ± 1.00	45.10 ± 1.62	41.93 ± 0.90
15 dS m ⁻¹	0	0	42.10 ± 0.68	41.70 ± 0.85	39.43 ± 0.87	36.26 ± 1.05
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	45.20 ± 0.50	43.66 ± 0.60	42.76 ± 0.50	39.26 ± 0.56
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	44.80 ± 1.17	43.26 ± 1.41	43.76 ± 0.46	37.60 ± 1.20
	NO3 ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	50	46.43 ± 1.54	44.60 ± 0.62	45.76 ± 0.65	41.60 ± 1.61
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	50	45.10 ± 0.88	42.60 ± 0.75	44.43 ± 0.65	39.96 ± 1.31

(Each value is an average of three replicates \pm S.E)

Table 8: Oil (% DM) of soybean genotypes to different levels of NaCl and Nitrogen after 110 days of stress

Salinity	Nitrogen		No. 2429-3130	No. 3702	Lochlon	Ajmari
Control		0	18.89 ± 0.29	18.19 ± 0.39	17.67 ± 0.52	16.68 ± 0.15
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	19.56 ± 0.59	18.86 ± 0.34	18.34 ± 0.75	17.00 ± 0.53
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	19.22 ± 0.23	18.52 ± 0.61	18.01 ± 1.23	17.00 ± 0.67
	NO3 ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	50	20.22 ± 0.91	19.52 ± 0.34	19.01 ± 1.76	18.00 ± 1.73
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	50	19.89 ± 0.30	19.19 ± 0.51	18.68 ± 0.89	17.33 ± 1.00
7.5 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	18.22 ± 0.78	17.52 ± 0.51	17.01 ± 0.89	16.02 ± 1.00
	NO3 ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	19.47 ± 0.40	18.52 ± 0.66	18.01 ± 0.73	17.22 ± 0.67
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	18.89 ± 0.59	18.19 ± 0.65	17.68 ± 1.45	16.67 ± 0.44
	NO3 ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	50	20.22 ± 0.67	19.66 ± 0.44	19.38 ± 0.74	17.23 ± 1.00
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	50	19.89 ± 0.38	19.19 ± 0.63	18.86 ± 0.66	17.14 ± 1.12
15 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	16.89 ± 0.62	16.52 ± 1.06	15.01 ± 0.65	13.33 ± 0.36
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	17.22 ± 0.93	16.86 ± 0.59	16.34 ± 0.23	14.67 ± 0.78
	NH_4^+ kg ha ⁻¹	25	17.16 ± 0.36	16.69 ± 0.18	16.21 ± 0.37	14.40 ± 0.45
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	50	17.56 ± 0.58	17.19 ± 0.88	16.74 ± 0.95	15.17 ± 0.27
	NH_4^+ kg ha ⁻¹	50	17.39 ± 0.35	17.02 ± 0.84	16.54 ± 0.42	14.83 ± 0.60

(Each value is an average of three replicates± S.E)

sensitive to salinity than plants fed with NO_3 when grown in solution culture.

The dry matter of cotton and corn decreases by increase in salinity but by application of nitrogen increases (Homaee *et al.* 2002) the growth. The salt tolerant genotypes-maintained K^+ higher levels and enhanced growth than salt sensitive genotypes. The previously this has been reported in various crops including wheat, rice (Murtaza *et al.* 2014), tomato (Amjad *et al.* 2014), spinach, strawberry (Kaya *et al.* 2001, 2003) and soybean (Jahangir

et al. 2009; Parveen *et al.* 2016). Parveen *et al.* (2016) reported that salinity severely reduced the growth of soybean plants and yield by upsetting morphological, physiological processes in all soybean genotypes yet more pronounced effect was on sensitive plant as compare to tolerant. Nadian *et al.* (2012) found that by increasing salinity noticeably decreased root and shoot growth. The high Na inhibitory effect on K uptake concentrations and also on growth of plant improved with increased nitrogen supply and this led to increase in ratio K: Na in leaf under

Salinity	Nitrogen form	Level	No.2429-3130	No. 3702	Lochlon	Ajmari
Control		0	8.28 ± 0.7	7.97 ± 0.1	9.13 ± 1.6	7.21 ± 1.0
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	11.17 ± 0.3	12.60 ± 0.1	13.55 ± 0.9	11.19 ± 0.5
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	8.18 ± 0.2	9.81 ± 1.1	10.95 ± 12	7.25 ± 0.5
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	14.65 ± 07	17.40 ± 1.7	18.86 ± 0.8	11.33 ± 0.7
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	50	12.30 ± 0.8	14.20 ± 2.0	16.50 ± 0.9	15.00 ± 0.7
7.5 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	4.64 ± 0.9	4.77 ± 0.0	3.22 ± 0.5	3.14 ± 0.9
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	6.38 ± 0.14	5.52 ± 0.4	4.15 ± 0.5	4.32 ± 0.3
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	5.6 ± 0.4	5.55 ± 1.4	3.62 ± 0.6	3.70 ± 0.5
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	9.59 ± 1.0	8.80 ± 0.9	5.59 ± 0.8	6.27 ± 0.7
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	50	7.08 ± 0.7	6.83 ± 1.1	4.20 ± 1.0	4.55 ± 0.8
15 dS m ⁻¹	-	0	3.02 ± 0.70	$3.06 \pm .08$.	1.51 ± 0.00	1.54 ± 0.2
	NO ₃ ⁻ kg ha ⁻¹	25	3.66 ± 0.03	3.71 ± 0.9	2.19 ± 0.70	2.27 ± 0.3
	NH4 ⁺ kg ha ⁻¹	25	3.32 ± 0.0	3.37 ± 0.6	1.90 ± 0.6	1.96 ± 0.3
	NO ₃ kg ha ⁻¹	50	5.62 ± 0.9	7.10 ± 1.1	3.39 ± 0.2	3.92 ± 0.4
	NH_4^+ kg ha ⁻¹	50	4.0 ± 0.27	4.11 ± 0.7	2.33 ± 0.3	2.48 ± 0.9

Table 9: K⁺/Na⁺ ratio of soybean genotypes to different levels of NaCl and Nitrogen after 110 days of stress

(Each value is an average of three replicates \pm S.E)

conditions of stress. In fact, nitrogen applications more than recommended rate compensate the detrimental effects under salinity stress.

Thus, beneath salinity stress conditions nitrate valuable effects are related to antagonism between of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions (Munns 2002). The existence of higher concentration of NO₃⁻ enhanced cations translocation such as Ca, K, and Mg, whereas NH₄⁺ has been shown to decreased cations concentration (Nadian *et al.* 2012; Murtaza *et al.* 2014). Hence with significant increase of nitrogen content, C/N ratio decreased, designated by increased the photosynthesis as well as with metabolism activity and ultimately increase in biomass of plant (Dubey and Pessarakli 1995; Guan *et al.* 2011). Therefore, nitrogen additions to the plants show symptoms of stress under salinity improved their tolerance to salt, growth and finally yield (Jahangir *et al.* 2009; Nadian *et al.* 2012).

As form of nitrogen application effect, the growth, with mixed addition of NO_3^{-}/NH_4^{+} produced highest yields under saline and normal conditions of soil (Cox and Reisenauer 1973; Botella et al. 1997; Drihem and Pilbeam 2002). Also, stromal contents and proteins of thylakoid increased by improved nitrogen supply in the chloroplast of leaf and finally enhanced leaves photosynthetic capacity (Homaee et al. 2002). Accumulation of solutes takes place under the sufficient nitrogen supply, important role of these in osmoticum adjustments as glycinebetaine, glutamate, proline, carnitine, sorbitol, fructans, polyols, trehalose, sucrose and oligosaccharides also increased by potassium and phosphorus added nutrition (Nadian et al. 2012). As osmolytes precisely produced by plants and counter the salinity osmotic deficit efficiently through solutes accumulation in cytoplasm and in vacuole by seizing the toxic ions (Knight et al. 2000; Munns and Tester 2008). Fertilizer application at optimum rates to soils under salinity moderately lighten the adversial salinity effects on photosynthesis and also on photosynthesis-related parameters and yield components by full filling the nutritional demands of salt effected plants (Albassam 2001; Sultana et al. 2001). The appropriate and suitable use of

nitrogen fertilizer in all types of soil is vital, but mostly in saline soils, where nitrogen use may minimize the damaging effects of salinity on growth of plant and yield (Shen *et al.* 1994; Flores *et al.* 2001; Abdelgadir *et al.* 2005).

Thus addition of NH_4^+ in place of NO_3^- in structures can reduces the uptake of other cations, like Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} and K^+ , that could be described by antagonism between cations and NH_4^+ The proportion of these effect differ according to factors between regulations made in the ionic balance of nutrients and growing conditions. Consequently, a vigilant use of NH_4^+ is suggested for crops which are sensitive to Ca deficiency including sweet pepper and tomato (Sonneveld and Voogt 2009).

As it is recognizable, that salt stress affected the soybean plants physiology significantly that resulted to decreased growth; nevertheless, better growth maintained in salt tolerant genotypes. Nitrogen application decreased the NaCl toxic effects which result in low levels of Na⁺ to tissue and activities of antioxidant enzymes in favorable conditions, enhanced photosynthetic features and consequently enhanced growth of plants. Thus, highest levels of nitrogen addition and nitrate form can be used as a good amendment facilitator against salt stress and also as a remedy for sensitive species/varieties for production of crop in stressed environment. Reduced crop productivity at high salinity generally triggered by an ionic imbalance causing toxicity, due to osmotic stress and ROS production in soybean plants (Akhtar et al. 2010; Jahangir et al. 2009; You and Chan 2015; Parveen et al. 2016). Salinity stress delayed the flowering and pod maturity enhanced in soybean ultimately effect grain development, causing it to shrivel (Jahangir et al. 2009; Parveen et al. 2016). Thus, this response was steady for salt tolerant and genotypes in flowering, reproductive and grain-filling stages, with significantly fewer pods per plant and leading towards lower grain vield (grain plant⁻¹) (Mannan *et al.* 2013). The salinity stress negatively affect yield and quality mainly due to short duration for protein and accumulation of oil by reducing seed yield per plant (Krasensky and Jonak 2012; Sabagh et al. 2015a. b).

Conclusion

Salinity stress adversely reduced the growth of all genotypes while the application of N increased the plant growth under both saline and non-saline conditions. The application of N was more beneficial for accession tolerant soybean genotype which produced drier biomass production, protein content and oil percent and K content through N application rather than sensitive genotypes under both saline and non-saline conditions. Application of nitrate form increased the plant growth and improved the protein and oil percent and K⁺ content as compared to ammonium form. Hence, it was concluded that the application of N fertilizers in the nitrate form is more beneficial for soybean crop under saline conditions rather than NH₄.

Acknowledgement

The support of the Higher Education Commission (HEC) of Pakistan and the University of Agriculture Faisalabad are gratefully acknowledged.

Author Contributions

Azhar S and MAU Haq designed the experiment; Azhar S conducted experiment, collected data and analyze the samples with the coordination of MAU Haq; MAU Haq wrote the manuscript; Azhar S and J.Akhtar drafted the manuscript and EAWariach review it before submission.

References

- Abdelgadir EM, M Oka, H Fujiyama (2005). Characteristics of nitrate uptake by plants under salinity. J Plant Nutr 28:33–46
- Akhtar J, ZA Saqib, M Sarfraz, I Saleem, MA Haq (2010). Evaluating salt tolerant cotton genotypes at different levels of NaCl stress in solution and soil culture. *Pak J Bot* 42:2857–2866
- Albassam BA (2001). Effect of nitrate nutrition on growth and nitrogen assimilation of pearl millet exposed to sodium chloride stress. J Plant Nutr 24:1325–1335
- Amjad M, J Akhtar, MA Haq, MA Riaz, SE Jacobsen (2014). Understanding salt tolerance mechanisms in wheat genotypes by exploring antioxidant enzymes. *Pak J Agric Sci* 51:969–976
- Azevedo Neto AD, JT Prico, J Eneas-Filho, CEBD Abreu, E Gomes-Filho (2006). Effect of salt stress on antioxidative enzymes and lipid peroxidation in leaves and roots of salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive maize genotypes. *Environ Exp Bot* 56:87–94
- Botella M, V Martinez, M Nieves, A Cerda (1997). Effect of salinity on the growth and nitrogen uptake by wheat seedlings. J Plant Nutr 20:793– 804
- Bradford MM (1976). A Rapid and Sensitive Method for the Quantitation of Microgram Quantities of Protein Utilizing the Principle of Protein-Dye Binding. *Anal Biochem* 72:248–254
- Bybordi A (2010). Study effect of NaCl salinity and nitrogen form on composition of canola (*Brassica napus* L.). J Not Sci Biol 2:113–116
- Chen JH (2006). The combined use of chemical and organic fertilizer and/or biofetilizer for crop growth and soil fertility. *Taipei Food Fert Technol Bull* 17:1–9
- Cox WJ, HM Reisenauer (1973). Growth and ion uptake by wheat supplied nitrogen as nitrate, or ammonium, or both. *Plant Soil* 38:363–380

- Drihem K, D Pilbeam (2002). Effects of salinity on accumulation of mineral nutrients in wheat growth with nitrate-nitrogen or mixed ammonium: Nitrate-nitrogen. J Plant Nutr 25:2091–2113
- Dubey RS, M Pessarakli (1995). Physiological mechanisms of nitrogen absorption and assimilation in plants under stressful conditions. *In: Handbook of Plant and Crop Physiology*, pp:605–625. Pessarakli M (Ed.). Marcel Dekker, New York, USA
- FAO (2007). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Land and Plant Nutrition Management Service, Rome, Italy. http://www.fao.org/ag/agl/agll/spush.
- FAO (2006). Terra STAT database. Avaialbale at: http://www.fao.org/ag/agl/agll/terrastat/>
- Flores P, AC Carvjal, V Martinez (2001). Salinity and ammonium/nitrate interactions on tomato plant development, nutrition and metabolites. *J Plant Nutr* 24:1561–1573
- Foolad MR (2004). Recent advances in genetics of salt tolerance in tomato. *Plant Tiss Org Cult* 76:101–119
- Gibbs BF, A Zougman, R Masse, C Mulligan (2004). Production and characterization of bioactive peptides from soy hydrolysate and soyfermented food. *Food Res Intl* 37:123–131
- Guan B, J Yu, X Chen, W Xie, Z Lu (2011). Effects of salt stress and nitrogen application on growth and ion accumulation of Suaeda salsa plants. In: International Conference on Remote Sensing, Environment and Transportation Engineering, pp:8268–8272. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, New Jersey, USA
- Gulluoglu L, H Bakal, EL Sabagh, AH Arioglu (2017). Soybean managing for maximize production: Plant population density effects on seed yield and some agronomical traits in main cropped soybean production. J Exp Biol Agric Sci 5:31–37
- Hanin M, C Ebel, M Ngom, L Laplaze, K Masmoudi (2016). New insights on plant salt tolerance mechanisms and their potential use for breeding. *Front Plant Sci* 7; Article 1787
- Haq MU, AP Mallarino (2005). Response of soybean grain oil and protein concentrations to foliar and soil fertilization. Agron J 97:910–918
- He FJ, JQ Chen (2013). Consumption of soybean, soy foods, soy isoflavones and breast cancer incidence: Differences between Chinese women and women in Western countries and possible mechanisms. *Food Sci Hum Wellness* 3:146–161
- Homaee M, RA Feddes, C Dirksen (2002). A macroscopic water extraction model for non-uniform transient salinity and water stress. Soil Sci Soc Amer J 66:1764–1772
- Jahangir AA, RK Mondal, K Nada, MAM Sarker, M Moniruzzaman, M KHossain (2009). Response of Different Level of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on Grain Yield, Oil Quality and Nutrient Uptake of Soybean. J Sci Ind Res 44:187–192
- Jones JBJ, VW Case, R Westerman (1990). Sampling, handling and analyzing plant tissue samples. *Soil Test Plant Anal* 3:389–427
- Kaya CD, BE Ak, D Higgs (2003). Response of salt-stressed strawberry plants to supplementary calcium nitrate and/or potassium nitrate. J Plant Nutr 26:543–560
- Kaya CD, Higgs, H Kirnak (2001). The effects of high salinity (NaCl) and supplementary phosphorus and potassium on physiology and nutrition development of spinach. *Bulg J Plant Physiol* 27:7–59
- Khojely DM, SE Ibrahim, E Sapey, T Han (2018). History, current status, and prospects of soybean production and research in sub-Saharan Africa. *Crop J* 6:226–235
- Knight FH, PP Brink, NJJ Combrink, CJVD Walt (2000). Effect of nitrogen source on potato yield and quality in the Western Cape. FSSA J 2000:157–158
- Krasensky J, C Jonak (2012). Drought, salt and temperature stress induced metabolic rearrangements and regulatory networks. J Exp Bot 63:1593–1608
- Krishnan HB (2001). Biochemistry and molecular biology of soybean seed storage proteins. *J New Seeds* 2:1–25
- Mallarino AP, MU Haq, D Wittry, M Bermudez (2001). Variation in soybean response to early season foliar fertilization among and within fields. *Agron J* 93:1220–1226
- Mannan MA (2014). Foliar and soil fertilization effect on seed yield and protein content of soybean. *Bangl J Agron* 17:67–72

- Mannan MA, MA Karim, MM Haque, QA Khaliq, H Higuchi, E Nawata (2013) Response of soybean to salinity: II. Growth and yield of some selected genotypes. *Trop Agric Dev* 57:31–40
- Matthäus B, L Brühl (2001). Comparison of different methods for the determination of the oil content in oilseeds. J Amer Oil Chem Soc 78:95–102
- Matsuo N, K Fukami, S Tsuchiya (2016). Effects of early planting and cultivars on the yield and agronomic traits of soybeans grown in southwestern Japan. *Plant Prod Sci* 19:370–380
- Maw MM, S Nakasathien, E Sarobol (2011). Effect of Nitrate Levels on Nitrogen Accumulation, Seed Yield and Quality of Soybean Cultivars. J Nat Sci 45:385–395
- Murtaza B, G Murtaza, M Saqib, A Khaliq (2014). Efficiency of nitrogen use in rice-wheat cropping system in salt-affected soils with contrasting texture. *Pak J Agric Sci* 51:421–431
- Murtaza G, N Hussain, A Ghafoor (2000). Growth response of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) to fertilizer nitrogen in salt-affected soils. *Intl J Agric Biol* 2:204–206
- Munns R (2002). Comparative physiology of salt and water stress. *Plant Cell Environ* 25:239–250
- Munns R, M Tester (2008). Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Annu Rev Plant Biol 59:651–681
- Nadian H, B Nateghazadeh, S jafari (2012). Effect of salinity and nitrogen fertilizer on some quantity and quality parameters of sugar cane (Saccharum spp.). J Food Agric Environ 10:470–474
- Parveen AHM, J Akhtar, SMA Basra (2016). Interactive effect of salinity and potassium on growth, biochemical parameters, protein and oil quality of soybean genotypes. *Pak J Agric Sci* 53:69–78
- Qadir M, E Quillérou, V Nangia, G Murtaza, M Singh, RJ Thomas, AD Noble (2014). Economics of salt-induced land degradation and restoration. *Nat Resour Forum* 38:282–295
- Sabagh AE, MS Islam, A Ueda, H Saneoka, C Barutçular (2015a) Increasing reproductive stage tolerance to salinity stress in soybean. Intl J Agric Crop Sci 8:738–74
- Sabagh AE, S Sorour, OA Elhamid, R Adel, MS Islam, C Barutçular, A Ueda, S Hirofumi (2015b). Alleviation of adverse effects of salt stress on soybean (*Glycine max*. L.) by using osmoprotectants and organic nutrients. *Intl J Biol Biomol Agric Eng* 9:1014–1018

- Sakthivelu G, MKD Akitha, P Giridhar, T Rajasekaran, GA Ravishankar, MT Nikolova, GP Kosturkova (2008). Isoflavone composition, phenol content, and antioxidant activity of soybean seeds from India and Bulgaria. J Agric Food Chem 56:2090–2095
- Sairam RK, KV Rao, GC Srivastava (2002). Differential response of wheat genotypes to long term salinity stress in relation to oxidative stress, antioxidant activity and osmolytes concentration. *Plant Sci* 163:1037–1046
- Shen Z, Q Shen, Y Liang, Y Liu (1994). Effect of nitrogen on the growth and photo-synthetic activity of salt-stressed barley. J Plant Nutr 17:787–799
- Shu K, Y Qi, F Chen, Y Meng, X Luo, H Shuai, W Zhou, J Ding, J Du, J Liu, F Yang, Q Wang, W Liu, T Yong, X Wang, Y Feng, W Yang (2017). Salt stress represses soybean seed germination by negatively regulating GA biosynthesis while positively mediating ABA biosynthesis. *Front Plant Sci* 8; Article 1372
- Sonneveld C, W Voogt (2009). *Plant nutrition of greenhouse crops*, p:431. Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York, USA
- Steel RGD, JH Torrie, DA Dickey (1997). Principles and procedures of statistics: A Biometrical Approach, 3rd edn., pp:400–428. McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc. New York, USA
- Sultana N, T Ikeda, MA Kashem (2001). Effect of foliar spray of nutrient solutions on photosynthesis, dry matter accumulation and yield in seawater-stressed rice. *Environ Exp Bot* 46:129–140
- Tshivhandekano TR, OAM Lewis (1993). Differences in response between nitrate and ammonium-fed maize to salinity stress and its amelioration by potassium. *S Afr J Bot* 59:597–601
- USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) (2018). Basic Report: 11450, soybeans, green, raw. Agricultural Research Service. National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference Legacy Release. https://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/foods/show/11450 (Accessed: 8 June 2018)
- Vagadia BH, SK Vanga, V Raghavan (2017). Inactivation methods of soybean trypsin inhibitor – A review. Trends Food Sci Technol 64:115–125
- Yamaguchi T, E Blumwald (2005). Developing salt-tolerant crop plants: Challenges and opportunities. *Trends Plant Sci* 10:615–620
- You J, Z Chan (2015). ROS Regulation during abiotic stress responses in crop plants. *Front Plant Sci* 6; Article 1092